

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 Address:

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/468,64	9 06/06/	95 IZUMI		Υ	45.605
Г	-	E5M1/0422 —			EXAMINER	
•			RTS & CUSHMAN	'	DUDEK, J	
	130 WATER					
	BOSTON MA	02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					2515	//
					DATE MAILED:	04/22/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Advisory Action

Application No. 08/468,649

. Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

Izumi et al.

James Dudek 2515

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b}]						
a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing d is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than rejection.	ate of this Advisory Action, whichever six months from the date of the final					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed redate on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee period form the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth	nd also the date for the purposes of jursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be					
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).						
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Apr 7, 1997</u> has been considerable but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	dered with the following effect,					
X The proposed amendment(s):						
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	·					
🛛 will not be entered because:						
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.	(See note below).					
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).						
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by mate issues for appeal.	erially reducing or simplifying the					
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claims wo separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	ould be allowable if submitted in a					
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO for allowance because:	OT place the application in condition					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to the Examiner in the final rejection.	issues which were newly raised by					
purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):						
Claims allowed: 6						
Claims rejected: 1-4, 7-44, 46, and 47						
∑ The proposed drawing correction filed on	•					
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).						
☐ Other	William L. Selies					
	WILLIAM L. SIKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EYAMINED					

GROUP 2500